From:
Gatwick Airport

Subject: Northern Runway Project Interested party

Date: 07 June 2025 21:12:13

You don't often get email from

Learn why this is important

Interested Party Reference Party number: GATW-S572327

Dear Sir/Madam

Although i have sent concerns in a previous email a while ago ,after reading this recent letter i felt we had to respond

1. Air noise requirement-

As i read it Gatwick, cannot satisfy the requirement of no more than 51 db outside 125 sqkm, but could accept outside 135 sqkm. This is because the migration to quieter aircraft will not happen as previously expected. Gatwick has no requirement to attempt to get airlines to introduce quieter aircraft. Why should locals put up with the increased noise for five years??

2. Receptor based noise mitigation -the same scheme that was proposed at Luton. The planning inspector proposing that if the house holder and Gatwick cant agree then Gatwick should buy the house

Two things-What happens at Luton is particular to Luton .It does not set a precendent. If all airports were treated the same there would be no need for planning controls. Doing something different at Gatwick ,does not reset policy -rather it accepts that Gatwick is different to Luton

3.Surface access-Is Gatwick saying that their ability to reduce road traffic is not solely down to them?.There are others involved.That is true ,but it is not unreasonable to say wait until something is done until you are allowed to build the second runway. This is common practice.Otherwise there would be unacceptable development without infrastructure

How i read /see this is Gatwick are trying to do is to not take any responsibilty for third parties ,irrespective if they be airlines or other major infrastructure providers. If they get away with this then it would result in unacceptable development and there would be no method of stopping it .Gatwick need to accept these constraints to help show that ,contrary to local public opinion, they are responsible business that has the needs of the local community at its heart

yours sincerely

Mr Mrs Shipton